

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,262	ADLER ET AL
	Examiner Phillip A. Johnston	Art Unit 2881

All Participants:

(1) Phillip A. Johnston.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) James Okamoto.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2005

Time: 12:30 PM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final Office Action

Claims discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

6,717,145 and 2001/0010357


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed that adding the limitation "after proper selection of the angle of incidence for the electron beams" to claim 69 would advance the application toward allowance

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JOHN R. LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2881

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)